



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/477,034 | 12/31/1999 | LANCE W. DOVER | 042390.P6115 | 8629 | |
| 75 | 590 05/14/2003 | | | | |
| MATTHEW C FAGAN BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | SURYAWANSHI, SURESH | | |
| | S, CA 900251026 | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2185 | 17 - | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | C |
|--|--|---|--|------------|
| | | 09/477,034 | DOVER ET AL. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Suresh K Suryawanshi | 2185 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. c, cause the application to become | r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation. |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 / | April 2003 amendment | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims | | | its is |
| · _ | Claim(s) <u>31-47</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | |
| <u> </u> | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>31-47</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 81 | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | r cicolori requirement. | | |
| 9)[🖂 . | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 1999</u> is/a | | objected to by the Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | | |
| 11)□ | The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a)□ approved b)□ | disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | ply to this Office action. | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | |
| | 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in | Application No | |
| * 5 | Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |). | |
| | acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | • | | cation). |
| a |) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ovisional application has | been received. | |
| Attachmen | _ | . , | • | |
| 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | _ · |
| U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re | | ction Summary | Part of Paper No. 16 | |

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 31-40 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 31-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims 31, 38 and 44 contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Examiner does not find the supporting Figs. 5a-5e and 7 as stated in the amendment dated 4/8/03 and there is no supporting text for these figures. It will require undo experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use the claimed invention.

Similarly, claims 32-37, 39-43 and 45-47 are rejected for incorporating all the defects of the independent claims from which they depend.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2185

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 38 and 44 recites the limitation "said series of received signals" in 2nd and 4th paragraphs. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Specification

6. Claim37 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim does not have an ending period. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 31-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lippmann et al (US Patent no 4,947,410¹).

¹ Reference cited by applicant in paper no 6

Art Unit: 2185

9. As per claims 31, 38 and 44, Lippmann et al teach

receiving a series of signals [col. 2, lines 24-55; input events];

changing a selected bit from a first state to a second sate upon receipt of each signal in said series of signals, wherein the selected bit begins with a least significant bit and continues through increasingly more significant bits with each subsequent one of said received signals, until a predetermined number of the selected bits have been [col. 2, lines 24-55; changing the first state to a second state in serial fashion in response to input events];

returning the selected bits to the first state [col. 2, lines 24-55; present number of memory locations have been set to the first state];

changing the selected bit from the first state to the second state upon receipt of each further signal in said series of signals, wherein the selected bit begins with a second least significant bit and continues through increasingly more significant bits with each subsequent one of said received signals, until the predetermined number of bits have been changed to the second state [col. 2, lines 24-55; inherent in the system as the system is designed to count for an odometer].

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/477,034 Page 5

Art Unit: 2185

10. As per claim 32, Lippmann et al teach

returning the selected bits to the first state [col. 2, lines 24-55; present number of memory locations have been set to the first state];

changing the selected bit from the first state to the second state upon receipt of each further signal in said series of signals, wherein the selected bit begins with a third least significant bit and continues through increasingly more significant bits with each subsequent one of said received signals, until the predetermined number of bits have been changed to the second state [col. 2, lines 24-55; inherent in the system as the system is designed to count for an odometer].

- 11. As per claims 33, 39 and 45, Lippmann et al teach that indicating in non-volatile storage comprises indicating in a non-volatile memory [col. 2, lines 39-41; nonvolatile memory].
- 12. As per claims 34, 40 and 46, Lippmann et al teach that indicating in the non-volatile memory comprises indicating in a flash memory [inherent as a flash memory is one type of non-volatile memory].
- 13. As per claims 35, 43 and 47, Lippmann et al teach that indicating in the non-volatile storage comprises indicating in a non-volatile register [inherent as a register in one type of non-volatile memory].

Application/Control Number: 09/477,034 Page 6

Art Unit: 2185

14. As per claim 36 and 42, Lippmann et al teach that predetermined number is ten [inherent in the system as the system is designed to count for an odometer].

15. As per claims 37 and 41, Lippmann et al teach that returning to the first state comprises erasing [inherent in the system as the system is designed to count for an odometer].

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL
ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN
THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING
DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL
AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE

Art Unit: 2185

ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESONSE EXPIRE

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suresh K Suryawanshi whose telephone number is 703-305-3990. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 703-305-9717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

sks May 7, 2003

> THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATERIT FY TIMER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100